

Note this is an example of the sort of waffle the bureaucrats at MAPS churn out. It might be interesting as background information but it mostly relates to the conference to regulate AP which is out of date

### **MAP reports & classification schemes**

**MAP** has made many classification schemes to cover all issues relating to Augmented Persons while not achieving very much of any concrete use. Here are some of them that might prove useful. These may be deemed to be inadequate or actively unhelpful, in which case you may work out whatever classification categories you believe would help.

### Classification: Power Level

MAP has created a categorisation schedule of APs which may be used to provide different regulation schemes. These are largely based on observed capabilities and not carefully tested calibrations. This is a result of a lack of access. They are thus rather speculative for most AP. The categories are keyed to what level of force would be needed to defeat them.

Rating	Power	Description	Typical Examples
0	Safe	Utility or cosmetic powers no more threatening than a normal person	Ability to change colour/scent Weak (100g) telekinesis Resistant to Extreme temperature (-10 to 80). All AP resist injuries and disease.
1	Mundane	As dangerous as a very competent, highly trained, heavily armed regular person	Highly resistant to physical trauma Resistant to extreme environmental conditions -40 to +100 degrees Strong, 500kg, or telekinesis the same level or much lower if it is invasive. Speed 100% faster than normal Energy blasts etc equivalent to small arms
2	Challenging	Comfortably exceeding normal human capabilities but impacting a fairly local area	Strong 10t lift Highly resistant to small arms & similar trauma Single target mind control Very low duration invisibility Shapechanging (disguise) Flight or limited teleportation Speed about 5 times normal Energy blasts or similar equivalent to hand held rocket launcher
3	Dangerous	Significant challenge to local military forces	Strong 100t lift Highly resistant to conventional weaponry multiple subject mind control Energy blasts or similar equivalent to an Artillery Battery Invisibility Long range teleportation Immense speed (x20 or more)
4	Supremely Threatening	Powerful enough to challenge national military	Strong 1000t+ Damaging blasts with nuclear power. Largely immune to any damage Wide-area mind control powers
5	Overwhelming	Beyond the abilities of humanity to deal with currently	Inconceivable power

**Note: There have been no Category 4 or 5 AP identified and only a handful have been speculatively put into Category 3**

### Classification: Lawfulness

Rating	Name	Description
0	Law Abiding	Behaves as a normal law abiding citizen
1	Secret Identity	Has an untraceable ID that is openly "super powered"
2	Vigilante	Acts to stop crime without legal authority
3	Criminal	Breaks laws in a routine selfish manner
4	Super villain	Threat to National Security - Threatens the state
5	Cosmic villain	Threatens humanity

*These classifications are strongly coloured by MAPS' point of view and relate to the fact that APs have a high tendency both to assume secret identities & to carry out vigilante activity. The current position is that 0-1 are legal and 3-5 are illegal. 2 is technically legal but often leads to other crimes being committed. 4 and 5 will involve different government agencies (or additional ones) compared to 2 and 3. They interrelate with power as of course a power 0 Augmented Person will not be a threat to humanity. Augmented foreign agents are essentially 4.*

### Classification: Contacts with Government Authorities

Rating	Status	Meaning
0	Unknown	Hidden from Government
1	Inactive	Not using their powers
2	Independent	No work for government
3	Consultant	APs hired as needed
4	Agent	APs work for the government full time
5	Conscripted	APs are required to assist the government in emergencies
6	Indentured	APs must work for the government full time
7	Test Subject	APs must submit to experimentation

0-4 are current ways the APs interact with the government (or avoid interaction)

5-7 are possible requirements that could be put on some or all APs.

There are also sanctions or restrictions that could be applied to APs.

**Classification: Suitable Restrictions for APs**

Rating	Level	Meaning	Notes
0	Unregulated	No restrictions or monitoring	
1	Registration	Optional Sign up	
2	Registration and Monitoring	Mandatory Registration & Monitoring	
3	Tracker Tagging	Electronic GPS tag or similar	
4	“Aggressive” Tagging	Attached explosive devices or similar	
5	Chemical Neutralisation	Powers suppressed using drugs or machines	Not possible with current tech but believed to be just around the corner
6	Incarceration	Locked securely away	
7	Purification	Euthanized	

**Classification: Vetting Procedures**

Rating	Level
0	None
1	Self certification
2	Simple checks on APs
3	Universal confirmation tests
4	Extensive invasive testing

**Classification: Liability for damage caused**

Rating	Level	Description
0	Exempt	APs are exempt from claims for damages that cause
1	Government fund	Government fund set up to cover damages caused by APs.
2	Public Insurance	APs must take out insurance in a Government licenced scheme
3	Private Insurance	Insurance Companies are required to offer AP’s cover
4	Full liability	APs personally liable for damages
5	Enhanced liability	Personal Liability, enhanced due to increased duty of care. Criminal charges will be pursued

**Classification: Imprisonability (sic)**

Rating	Level	Description
0	Normal	No special capabilities; can be held in regular prison facilities
1	Enhanced	Somewhat stronger or more aggressive than regular people but can be held in reinforced cells or by other fairly straightforward means.
2	Special	Can be imprisoned or restrained but requires facilities or equipment tailored to them.
3	Restrained	Can be physically restrained and immobilised in heavy bindings of super strait jackets.
4	Sedated	Can be incapacitated using drugs and kept in a comatose state
5	Unbound	No known way to restrain or subdue

**Note:** It is not always apparent to arresting agents what level of restraint is needed when dealing with AP. There have been a number of cases of arrested AP quickly breaking out of regular cells or police vehicles and avoiding recapture. Obversely there have been a disproportionate number of cases of AP being shot and killed "while trying to escape". AP advocates maintain the police have a shoot to kill policy towards AP. Police forces argue that is incredibly difficult to assess appropriate levels of force when dealing with AP and err on the side of protecting the general public and themselves.

At another level a significant number of AP are being held either in restraints or drug induced stupor which civil rights lawyers point out violates their basic human rights and the US constitution The various authorities involved fall back on public safety concerns to defend their actions in these cases as well.